

1 JOHN C. WELLS  
2 Deputy Enforcement Director  
3 JAMES T. SUGARMAN  
4 Assistant Litigation Deputy  
5 NINA H. SCHICHOR, MD Bar (no assigned number)  
6 (E-mail: nina.schichor@cfpb.gov)  
7 (Phone: 202-435-9770)  
8 1700 G Street NW  
9 Washington, DC 20552  
10 Fax: (202) 435-7722

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
12 Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

13 Mark S. Bagula, Esq. (SBN 171141)  
14 BAGULA, RIVIERE, COATES AND ASSOCIATES, LLP  
15 9888 Carroll Centre Road, Suite 235  
16 San Diego, CA 92126  
17 Tel: (619) 272-4235  
18 Fax: (619) 272-4309

19 Attorneys for Defendants, GLOBAL FINANCIAL SUPPORT, INC., and ARMOND  
20 ARIA

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau,

Plaintiff,

v.

Global Financial Support, Inc.,  
d/b/a Student Financial Resource  
Center, d/b/a College Financial  
Advisory; and

Armond Aria a/k/a Armond Amir Aria,  
individually, and as owner and CEO of  
Global Financial Support, Inc.;

Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-02440-GPC-WVG

**JOINT STATEMENT REGARDING THE  
STAY**

Judge: Hon. Gonzalo P. Curiel  
Courtroom: 2D  
Conf. Date: N/A

**JOINT STATEMENT**

1. For over two years now, since May 17, 2016, the Court has stayed this case due to a criminal investigation of Defendant Armond Aria (“Defendant”).
2. The Court has continued the stay eleven times in this case, each time ordering the parties to file a joint statement apprising the court of the propriety of continuing or vacating the stay in approximately 60 days.
3. Over a year ago, on May 10, 2017, Defendant informed the Court that he had signed a plea agreement with the Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of California (“Assistant United States Attorney”) but that he did not know if the

1 Department of Justice and Internal Revenue Service had approved the agreement  
2 yet. (ECF No. 47.)

3  
4 4. On or about December 4, 2017, Defendants were informed that the plea agreement  
5 was not approved in its current form by the DOJ Tax Division.

6  
7 5. As of August 13, 2018—over eight months later—Defendant represents that the  
8 AUSA not provided him with an amended plea agreement.

9  
10 6. No criminal indictment has yet been filed against Defendant.

11  
12 7. The Court has issued sequential stays in 60 day increments since May of 2016.

13 The Court most recently issued its twelfth stay on June 20, expiring 60 days later.

14 **The Bureau's Statement**

15 8. The Bureau requests that the Court grant no more than a 30-day stay for the  
16 reasons stated in its original Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Stay (Opp'n,  
17 ECF No. 31) and in the twelve previous Joint Status Reports, and because the  
18 Bureau does not know if the Assistant United States Attorney and Defendant will  
19 ever resolve the criminal case.  
20

21  
22 9. This enforcement action should not have to standby indefinitely. The Bureau  
23 therefore requests that the Court's order include a provision to lift the stay after  
24 this final 30-day extension has expired.  
25

26 **Defendant's Statement:**

27  
28 10. On August 13, 2018, Defendants' criminal counsel Patrick Hall ("Mr. Hall")

1 informed Defendants' civil attorneys in the CFPB matter that neither Mr. Hall nor  
2 Defendants have received the revised plea agreement from the Assistant United  
3 States Attorney ("AUSA").  
4

5 11. However, Defendants continue to await comments or revisions to the plea  
6 agreement from the AUSA and are therefore continuing to negotiate the plea  
7 agreement in good faith with the AUSA, Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") and the  
8 Department of Justice ("DOJ") Tax Division. Again, the complexity of  
9 negotiations with multiple parties has added delays we wish were not necessary.  
10 However, the reasons for which this Court properly granted the current stay of this  
11 action remain in place, as should a stay. Furthermore, there should be no provision  
12 automatically lifting the stay after its expiration if the circumstances necessitating  
13 the stay continue to exist.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 12. On or about May 10, 2017, Defendants signed a plea agreement proposed by the  
19 AUSA and returned the same to the AUSA.  
20

21 13. However, the plea agreement has neither been approved nor signed by the AUSA.

22 14. The AUSA previously informed Defendants that approval of the plea agreement  
23 had to be obtained from the IRS and the DOJ Tax Division.  
24

25 15. Again, neither the IRS nor the DOJ have approved the plea agreement.

26 16. The IRS and DOJ sought additional information from Defendants, which  
27 Defendants have provided.  
28

1 17. As stated above, Defendants received comments from DOJ Tax Division via the  
2 U.S. Attorney's office on October 11, 2017, and provided additional information to  
3 the U.S. Attorney's office on October 13, 2017.  
4

5 18. On or about December 4, 2017, Defendants were informed that the plea agreement  
6 was not approved in its current form by the DOJ Tax Division.  
7

8 19. Defendants' criminal counsel Mr. Hall last met with AUSA Andrew Galvin ("Mr.  
9 Galvin") this year on January 26, 2018. The AUSA is evaluating the plea  
10 agreement and expects to provide Defendants with a revised version of the same.  
11 Defendants are eagerly awaiting the plea agreement from the U.S. Attorney's  
12 office so that the criminal matter and then the CFPB matter can be resolved.  
13  
14

15 20. Accordingly, good faith negotiations regarding the criminal matter remain  
16 ongoing.  
17

18 21. Defendants will continue to provide Plaintiff with material updates regarding the  
19 status of the criminal matter.  
20

21 22. Plaintiff previously asked Defendants to consider providing Plaintiff with  
22 documents (namely IRS returns) that Defendants have provided to the AUSA.  
23

24 23. However, Defendants informed Plaintiff that Defendants' criminal defense  
25 attorney advised against the production of documents for the reasons set forth in  
26 prior Joint Statements including, but not limited to, the fact that Defendants' tax  
27 returns are not finalized.  
28

1 24. Because the Defendants' documents are not finalized, in the event the plea  
2 agreement is not approved and Defendant not sentenced, additional financial  
3 information may have to be provided by Defendants (which has already occurred).  
4

5 25. Until the plea agreement is approved and Defendant is sentenced by the U.S.  
6 District Court for the Southern District of California, the AUSA reserves the right  
7 indict Defendants (and will in fact indict Defendants if the plea agreement is not  
8 approved and Defendant is not sentenced).  
9

10 26. Settlement discussions with the CFPB require as a precursor, *according to the*  
11 *CFPB*, that Mr. Aria turn over financial information. It is only reasonable that the  
12 criminal matter should first be finalized and then the data can be turned over to the  
13 CFPB to satisfy the CFPB's settlement demands. Defendants have informed  
14 Plaintiff on multiple occasions of its intention to resolve the CFPB matter as  
15 quickly as reasonably possible.  
16  
17  
18

19 27. As of the date of this filing, Defendants have complied with the requests of the  
20 AUSA, IRS and DOJ; and are awaiting their approval of the plea agreement.  
21

22 28. Defendants have not unnecessarily delayed, as the process of interacting with  
23 different government entities has itself caused the lapse of time.  
24

25 29. Furthermore, because the AUSA, IRS and DOJ require a reasonable amount of  
26 time in which to review the information provided, obtain the requisite approvals  
27 and engage in other actions to which Defendants are not privy, this case should be  
28

1 stayed for a reasonable period of time (one which does not defeat the purpose of  
2 the stay by requiring the parties to file new joint statements and return to this Court  
3 too quickly).  
4

5 30. In addition to the foregoing, if the CFPB matter is recommenced before resolution  
6 of the criminal matter, Mr. Aria will have no choice but to assert his 5th  
7 Amendment rights. There is no danger the CFPB needs to prevent since the CFPB  
8 has ensured Mr. Aria no longer practices his business and generates no income  
9 therefrom.  
10  
11

12 31. Therefore, Defendants respectfully request that this case be stayed for an  
13 additional 60 days (without provisions to automatically lift the stay) to afford the  
14 Defendants, AUSA, IRS and DOJ a reasonable opportunity to finalize the  
15 settlement the criminal mater.  
16  
17

18 Respectfully submitted,

19  
20 John C. Wells  
21 Deputy Enforcement Director

22 James T. Sugarman  
23 Assistant Litigation Deputy

24 /s/ Nina Schichor  
25 Nina Schichor, MD Bar  
26 (MD Bar Does Not Issue Bar Numbers)  
27 (E-mail: [nina.schichor@cfpb.gov](mailto:nina.schichor@cfpb.gov))  
28 (Phone: 202-435-9770)  
*Enforcement Attorney*  
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau  
1700 G Street NW  
Washington, DC 20552

Fax: (202) 435-7722

**BAGULA, RIVIERE, COATES AND  
ASSOCIATES, LLP**

By: s/Mark S. Bagula

Mark S. Bagula, Esq.,

Email: Bagula@brcaa.com

Attorneys for Defendants, GLOBAL FINANCIAL  
SUPPORT, INC., and ARMOND ARIA

Dated: August 17, 2018



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 17, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing document via ECF and that service was perfected on all counsel of record through email.

/s/ Nina Schichor  
Nina Schichor  
*Enforcement Attorney*  
Consumer Financial Protection Bureau  
1700 G Street NW  
Washington, DC 20552